

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,320	04/13/2005	Yuuzou Muramatsu	019519-473	7006
21839 75	590 12/15/2006		EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA. VA 22313-1404			WALKE, AMANDA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1752	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	
7 5	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/531,320	MURAMATSU, YUUZOU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amanda C. Walke	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ag	oril 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
·— .	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 -12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata (2002/0039651) in view of Sakashita et al (4,526,920).

Murata disclose a film for a display (plasma, LCD, CRT, EL, etc.) compirising a transparent substrate, a high refractive index coating, and a low refractive index coating. The high refractive index coating comprises an acrylic resin and an oxide particle of titanium, zinc, antimony, tin, zirconium, or aluminum ([0028]). The low refractive index layer comprises silica or magnesium fluoride particles of 5 to 30 nm ([0029]-[0032]). The reference fails to specifically point out acrylic resins that are useful in the invention.

Sakashita et al disclose a curable composition for use in a display device. The reference teaches that suitable resins include dipentaerythritol hexaacrylate and pentacrylate, and trimethylolpropare triacryalte. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material choosing one or a combination of two of the listed resins.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of the reference choosing to employ a the polyfunctional (meth)acrylates of Sakashita et al as the acrylic resin in the cured high refractive index layer as it is conventional in the art.

Page 3

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaneko et al (6,713,170), Ojima et al (2006/0181774), and Tsukada et al (6,129,980) are cited for their teachings of similar materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

ACW December 11, 2006 MIGHAL C VOULL AMANDA WALKE (2/11/00) BRIMARY EXAMINER